<u>COAL PRODUCTION BY LONGWALLMINING- A</u> <u>STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF EQUIPMENT BREAKDOWN</u> <u>CAUSE PATTERNS</u>

Krishna Reddy Bhimavarapu*

ABSTRACT:

Coal production is a very complex process. It involves several causes of equipment breakdowns leading to down times. These down times reduce the production drastically depending up on their nature and type of breakdown. Ultimate result of these breakdowns is low productivity and stress on the managerial personal for repairing and bringing back the equipment into working condition. The present paper attempts to identify the causes of down times of equipment and to find out the inter relationships between them. To check for the association between the occurrences of C_1 to C_{12} a bi-variate frequency table has been obtained from among the occurrences of the variables and a Chi-square test has been applied.



^{*} Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad, A.P., INDIA.

I) INTRODUCTION:

Energy is the fundamental resource for a variety of needs of modern living. Broadly, the sources of energy can be classified into conventional (Exhaustible) and non-conventional (Inexhaustible) energy sources. Coal, an exhaustible source of energy, contributes to a major part of the energy needs (60% nearly) of the country today. As it is evident that the coal reserves are depleting at a faster rate and there is every need to conserve them for our future generations. Coal production is undertaken in two ways, namely, Underground (UG) mining and Open Cast (OC) mining. Of the two methods, UG mining involves more complex mining process, needs rigorous as well as careful planning for the effective control and conservation of coal in its production (i.e., the production must keep in mind the higher percentage of extraction). In order to meet the ever-increasing demand of coal, the coal mining industry must keep pace with the latest and sophisticated technology to satisfy the demand. One such type of a highly mechanized technique used for coal production is *long wall* mining method. This method involves coal cutting and conveying it from the underground to the surface of the mine at a faster rate to satisfy the customer demand in varying quantities across the country.

The coal production through long wall mining experiences several problems which include the problems due to Incoming Power, Shearer, Armored Face Conveyor, Supports, Signals & Communication and so on. The detailed list of the causes are given below.

Cause	Name	Cause	Name
C ₁	INCOMING POWER	C ₂	GATE-END BOX, TRANSFORMER,
	and the second second		CONTROLLER, CABLE FAULTS etc.,
C ₃	SHEARER	C ₄	ARMOURED FACE CONVEYOR
			(AFC)
C ₅	STAGE LOADER, LAMP	C ₆	FACE SUPPORTS, POWER PACK,
	BREAKER AND GATE BELT		HYDRAULICS & WATER SUPPLY
C ₇	FADE SIGNAL AND	C_8	OTHER BREAK DOWNS
	COMMUNICATIONS		
C ₉	SPARE PARTS	C ₁₀	STRATA PROBLEMS
C ₁₁	SHIFT CHANGE HOURS AND	C ₁₂	TRUNK CONVEYOR AND OUTBYE
	OTHER DELAYS		SYSTEM

If one controls these problems effectively, the production of coal can be in higher volumes with the same input resources so that the customer needs can be satisfied. Therefore, the

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories						
Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.						
International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering						
http://www.ijmra.us						

purpose of this paper is to identify the causes for down times so as to effectively control them and to help maximise the production.

II) OBJECTIVES:

The following are the objectives of the present paper:

- i) To analyse the causes of breakdowns on the basis of panels and locations of the mine.
 - ii) To identify the difference between panels and locations with regard to the occurrences of causes of down times.

iii) To check for the dependency, if any, between the causes of down times.

III) DATA FOR THE STUDY:

The data for the study is collected from two places called as Location-1 and Location-2. The actual names of the places are not mentioned to preserve confidentiality as required by the mining company. In each of these places the coal is produced (extracted) through longwall mining technique. In the mining terminology this extraction is called as a panel. There are *four panels in Location-1* and *five* panels in Location-2. It is observed from the records of the company that the respective panels in each of the two locations worked for the following number of days.

	Panel	Location-1	Location-2
	number		
	1	204	139
	2	93	286
	3	128	235
	4	377	286
L	5	Not	303
	/	applicable	

Table-1: Panel wise working days:

The occurrences of breakdowns are classified into twelve causes. The occurrence of the cause on day to day basis is collected from these 9 panels for the entire duration of operation of each panel. The occurrence or non- occurrence of a cause on a day is recorded for the entire period of working of the panel using 1 and 0. The following table presents the sample data collected for four days.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering http://www.ijmra.us

474

Table-2:Details of o	occurrences of	causes:
----------------------	----------------	---------

Day	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	4 C ₅	С	₆ (C ₇ (C ₈	C ₉	C ₁₀	C ₁₁	C ₁₂
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
3	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	

We can understand from the above that on 3^{rd} day C_2 (the 2^{nd} cause) has occurred and hence a '1' is assigned indicating its occurrence. Similarly, on the same day, we can notice the presence of '1' for C_3 , C_6 and C_8 . This indicates that these three causes have occurred on this particular day. The presence of number '0' for other causes indicates the non-occurrence of these causes on the said day. This table is also called as the *incidence matrix*.

Since many causes lead to down times of the equipment on any day, it will be of interest to examine the frequency of occurrence of the causes either individually or in combination with other causes, degree of association between the causes in terms of their occurrence on the same day. If a high degree of association exists among some of these causes, it is very likely that they all will have a common origin and hence improving the situation by controlling one will improve the overall situation. It is essential that the management understand the pattern of breakdowns for their minimisation and effective control. In order to facilitate this investigation, the following numbering scheme is adopted for naming the causes in operation on any given day. A power of '2' is assigned to each of these 12 variables in a specific way. The cause operating most frequently has been assigned with small number and so on as below.

$C_1 = 2^2$	$C_2 = 2^8$	$\mathbf{C}_3 = 2^1 \qquad \mathbf{C}_4$	$_{4} = 2^{3}$
$C_5 = 2^5$	$C_6 = 2^4$	$C_7 = 2^{10}$	$C_8 = 2^0$
$C_9 = 2^9$	$C_{10} = 2^6$	$C_{11} = 2^{11}$	$C_{12} = 2^7$
These numb	ers can be put	into a vector V, whe	re
V = (4 256	2 8 32 16 1	1024 1 512 64 2048	8 128)

A row in Table-2 is associated with a 12 component binary vector representing a day in terms of the occurrence of breakdowns. A typical occurrence of 4th day breakdown after converting to 0 and 1 is

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering http://www.ijmra.us

Volume 2, Issue 11

<u>ISSN: 2249-0558</u>

Multiplication of vector V with vector I_4 corresponding to 4th day results in a composite index whose binary expansion reveals the pattern of causes operating that day. The number obtained as a result of the inner product of V and I_4 is

 $V \; x \; I_4 \; = \{ 4 \; x \; 1 + 256 \; x \; 0 + 2 \; x \; 0 + 8 \; x \; 1 + 32 \; x \; 1 + 16 \; x \; 0 + 1024 \; x \; 0 +$

November

2012

 $1 \ge 1 + 512 \ge 0 + 64 \ge 1 + 2048 \ge 0 + 128 \ge 0$

 $= \{4 + 0 + 0 + 8 + 32 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 64 + 0 + 0\} = 109$

This helps in identifying the number of causes that are operating along with their names like C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{12} . This total 109 can be decomposed to get back the details of occurrence of individual causes. Thus, we can determine the combination of causes operating on each day uniquely.

IV) FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF CAUSE – PATTERNS:

After finding the total as explained above a frequency distribution of the totals has been obtained. This distribution enables us in identifying the most frequent combinations of breakdowns in each of the 9 panels (4 panels at Location-1 and 5 panels at Location-2). In what follows, the most frequently occurring combinations in each of the panels.

TABLE 3:	LOCATION	-1: PANEL 1	TABLE 4 : LOCATION-1: PANEL 2			
(Working d	ays = 204)		(Working days = 93)			
Frequency	Code total	Combination	Frequency	Code total	Combination	
12	65	C ₈ ,C ₁₀	9	161	$C_{5,}C_{8,}C_{12}$	
11	13	$C_{1,}C_{4,}C_{8}$	6	131	$C_{3,}C_{8,}C_{12}$	
10	1	C ₈	5	129	C ₈ ,C ₁₂	
10	2	C ₃	5	179	$C_{3,}C_{5,}C_{6,}C_{8,}C_{12}$	
8	5	C ₁ ,C ₈	4	11	C ₃ ,C ₄ ,C ₈	
8	19	C ₃ ,C ₆ ,C ₈	4	49	C ₅ ,C ₆ ,C ₈	
7	8	C ₄	4	163	C ₃ ,C ₅ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	
7	17	$C_{6,}C_{8}$	4	171	$C_{3,}C_{4,}C_{5,}C_{8,}C_{12}$	

TABLE 5:	LOCATION	-1: PANEL 3	TABLE 6 : LOCATION-1: PANEL 4				
(Working days = 128)			(Working days = 377)				
Frequency Code total Combination			Frequency Code total Combination		Combination		
38	64	C ₁₀	66	2	C ₃		
19	8	C ₄	38	64	C ₁₀		
7	16	C ₆	36	0	No breakdown		
5	3	C _{3,} C ₈	22	65	C ₈ ,C ₁₀		

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering



Volume 2, Issue 11



4	161	C ₅ , C ₈ , C ₁₂	21	8	C ₄
3	24	C ₄ ,C ₆	9	16	C ₆
3	131	C ₃ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	7	3	C ₃ ,C ₈
3	171	C ₅ ,C ₆ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	7	81	C ₆ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₀
			6	1	C ₈
			6	163	C ₃ ,C ₅ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂
			6	171	C ₃ ,C ₄ ,C ₅ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂
			6	187	C ₃ ,C ₄ ,C ₅ ,C ₆ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂
			5	26	C ₃ ,C ₄ ,C ₆
			5	178	C ₃ ,C ₅ ,C ₆ ,C ₁₂
			5	185	C ₄ ,C ₅ ,C ₆ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂

V) ANALYSIS OF CAUSE – PATTERNS:

A) Location-1:

In Panel 1, C_8 is most frequent with individual occurrence of 10 times and 46 times in combination with C_1 , C_4 , C_3 , C_6 , C_{10} singly or severally.

In Panel 2, C_8 is most frequent with one or more of C_{12} , C_5 , C_3 , C_4 and C_6 to follow in that order.

In Panel 3, C_{10} has occurred for 38 days out of 128 days, while C_4 and C_6 individually have occurred for 19 and 7 days respectively. In occurrences of more than one cause C_8 and C_{12} jointly are most frequent either with C_3 or C_5 .

Panel 4 of Location-1 experienced 66 days of occurrence of C_3 alone while C_{10} occurred for 38 days in a total of 377 days. A very interesting feature of this panel is that there is <u>no</u> <u>breakdown</u> for 36 days. Among the combinations C_8 and C_{12} jointly occurred with some of the other causes predominantly. With this pair of C_8 and C_{12} one can notice the occurrence of C_3 , C_5 , C_4 and C_6 very often.

In conclusion, it is observed that C_8 and C_{12} are crucial and are found to occur in combinations with others at Location-1.

TABLE 7 :	LOCATION	-2: PANEL 1	TABLE 8 : LOCATION-2: PANEL 2			
(Working d	ays = 139)		(Working days $= 286$)			
Frequency Code total Combination		Frequency	Code total	Combination		



18

Volume 2, Issue 11

<u>ISSN: 2249-0558</u>

48	128	C ₁₂	91	128	C ₁₂
16	132	C ₁ ,C ₁₂	38	136	C ₄ ,C ₁₂
14	2048	C ₁₁	30	64	C ₁₀
10	136	C ₄ ,C ₁₂	20	8	C_4
7	160	C ₅ , C ₁₂	19	129	C ₈ ,C ₁₂
6	384	C ₂ ,C ₁₂	13	2	C ₃
5	130	C ₃ ,C ₁₂	12	160	C ₅ ,C ₁₂
4	129	C ₈ ,C ₁₂	9	132	C ₁ ,C ₁₂
4	256	C ₂	5	137	$C_{4,}C_{8,}C_{12}$
			5	392	C ₂ ,C ₄ ,C ₁₂
			4	1	C ₈
			4	168	C ₄ ,C ₅ ,C ₁₂
			4	384	C ₂ ,C ₁₂

TABLE 9:	LOCATION	-2: PANEL 3	TABLE 10 : LOCATION-2: PANEL 4			
(Working d	ays = 235)		(Working days = 286)			
Frequency	Code total	Combination	Frequency	Code total	Combination	
<mark>2</mark> 9	136	C ₄ ,C ₁₂	32	64	C ₁₀	
27	128	C ₁₂	32	128	C ₁₂	
25	0	No Breakdown	18	129	C ₈ ,C ₁₂	
20	8	C ₄	13	2	C ₃	
14	129	C ₈ , C ₁₂	13	130	C _{3,} C ₁₂	
11	64	C ₁₀	11	131	C _{3,} C ₈ ,C ₁₂	
11	<u>132</u>	C ₁ ,C ₁₂	8	136	$C_{4,}C_{12}$	
9	137	$C_{4,}C_{8,}C_{12}$	8	192	C_{10}, C_{12}	
9	160	C ₅ ,C ₁₂	7	161	$C_{5,C_{8,C_{12}}}$	
6	32	C ₅	6	132	C _{1,} C ₁₂	
6	164	C ₁ ,C ₅ ,C ₁₂	6	162	C ₃ ,C ₅ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	
6	165	C ₁ ,C ₅ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	5	32	C ₅	
5	140	$C_{1,}C_{4,}C_{12}$	5	133	$C_{1,C_{8,C_{12}}}$	
5	168	C ₄ ,C ₅ ,C ₁₂	5	135	C ₁ ,C ₃ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	
			5	137	$C_{4,}C_{8,}C_{12}$	
			5	139	C ₃ ,C ₄ ,C ₈ ,C ₁₂	

TABLE 11: LOCATION-2: PANEL 5 (Working days = 303)FrequencyCode totalCombination

 \overline{C}_{10}

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

64

International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering



Volume 2, Issue 11



ISSN: 2249-055

B) LOCATION-2:

 C_{12} alone occurred on 48 days out of 139 days in <u>Panel 1</u>, while C_{11} alone accounts for 14 days and C_2 for 4 days individually. Among the combinations, C_{12} has occurred with either of C_1, C_5, C_4, C_2, C_3 and C_8 singly or severally.

In <u>Panel 2</u>, C_{12} alone accounts for 91 days in 286 days while C_{10} occurred for 30 days and C_4 for 20 days, C_3 for 13 days and C_8 for 4 days. In the combinations C_{12} is most frequent with C_4 , C_8 , C_5 , C_1 and C_2 either pair wise or more than two at a time.

Out of 235 days, there is no breakdown for 25 days. Among the occurrences, the causes C12, C₄, C₁₀, C₅ individually have taken place for 27, 20, 11 and 6 days respectively in <u>Panel 3</u>. In combinations C₁₂ is most frequent with one or more of C₄, C₅, C₁ and C₈.

<u>Panel 4</u> of Location-2 experienced an equal number of occurrences of C_{10} and C_{12} , each for 32 days in 286 days. Individuals to follow are C_3 and C_5 with 13 and 5 days as the frequencies. C12 and C8 dominate pair wise occurrences. C_{12} with C_3 , C_4 , C_{10} and C_1 are to follow in that order with respect to their frequencies. In the combination of three or more causes C_{12} and C_8 have occurred either with C_5 , C_3 , C_1 or C_4 .

Out of 303 days, C_{10} , C_8 and C_4 have individually accounted for 18, 13 and 10 days respectively in <u>Panel 5</u>. Among the combinations of two or more occurrences C_{12} , C_{10} and C_8 are the ones, which are most frequent.

Volume 2, Issue 11

At Location-2, it is observed that C_{12} is the most predominantly occurring cause of down time and is a crucial variable. It is found to occur in combinations with C_8 , C_{10} , C_4 and C_5 .

ISSN: 2249-0558

VI) ASSOCIATION OF DOWN TIME CAUSES:

To check for the association between the occurrences of C_1 to C_{12} a bi-variate frequency table has been obtained from among the occurrences of the variables. The frequency of occurrence of C_8 with others and C_1 with others as a bivariate has been calculated as shown below.

Causes	C ₈ and Others	Others but not C ₈	Total
C_1 & others	65	3	68
Others but not C ₁	60	76	136
Total	125	79	204

The expected frequency Table has been calculated as

(68 x 125) / 204 = 42	(68 - 42) = 26
(125 - 42) = 83	(79 - 26) = 53

Using $\chi^2 = \sum \{(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i\}$, it is possible to check for the association between the selected pair (say C_1 and C_8).

This analysis has been carried out on some of selected most frequently occurring pairs. The results are tabulated with the pair, observed frequency (O_i), Total 1,Total 2, Expected Frequency (E_i) and Chi-square value. For the example shown, the pair is (C_1,C_8), observed frequency = 65, total 1 = 68, total 2 = 125, Grand Total = 204.

Expected frequency = (Total 1 x Total 2) / (Grand Total) = 42 and the value of χ^2 = 49.296.

By comparing the calculated value of Chi-square with the tabulated value for 1 d.f., it may be concluded that the pair (C₁, C₈) in Panel 1 is associated. The details regarding various selected pairs are presented below. S in bracket indicates the significance of Chi-square value at $\alpha = 5\%$ Level of Significance.

Pair	O _i	Total 1	Total 2	Ei	Chi- Square
Location-1: Panel 1(204):					
C ₁ ,C ₈	65	68	125	42	49.296 (S)
C4,C8	43	65	125	40	0.858

TABLE 12: Association of Down time Causes at Location-1:

IJMIE

Volume 2, Issue 11

<u>ISSN: 2249-0558</u>

C ₃ ,C ₈	42	76	125	47	2.214	
C ₆ ,C ₈	37	61	125	38	0.099	
C ₁ ,C ₄	30	68	65	42	13.419 (S)	
C ₃ ,C ₆	29	76	61	23	3.592	
C ₁ ,C ₃	22	68	76	25	0.852	
C ₅ ,C ₈	22	47	125	29	5.726 (S)	
Location-1: Panel 2(93):						
C ₅ ,C ₁₂	37	54	67	39	0.856	
C ₃ ,C ₁₂	33	47	67	34	0.517	
		Location-1	: Panel 3(128)	:		
C ₈ ,C ₁₂	34	40	43	13	72.56 (S)	
C_5, C_{12}	23	30	43	10	33.07 (S)	
C ₆ ,C ₁₂	23	40	43	13	16.45 (S)	
C ₃ ,C ₈	22	32	40	10	27.93 (S)	
C ₅ ,C ₈	21	30	40	9	29.65 (S)	
C ₃ ,C ₁₂	19	32	43	11	11.8 <mark>7 (S</mark>)	
		Location-1	: Panel 4(377)	:		
C ₈ ,C ₁₂	69	136	89	32	87.402 (S)	
C ₅ ,C ₁₂	63	76	89	18	184.739 (S)	
C ₃ ,C ₈	56	168	136	61	1.163	
C ₆ ,C ₈	54	98	136	36	19.276 (S)	
C ₅ ,C ₈	53	76	136	28	44.368 (S)	
C ₃ ,C ₆	52	168	98	44	3.569	
C ₃ ,C ₁₂	48	168	89	40	3.806	
C4,C8	48	101	136	37	7.067 (S)	
C ₆ ,C ₁₂	46	<mark>98</mark>	89	23	40.552 (S)	
C ₃ ,C ₄	45	168	101	45	0.000	
C_{8}, C_{10}	45	136	96	35	6.042 (S)	
					All with 1 d.f.	

TABLE 13: Association of Down time Causes at Location-2:

Pair	O _i	Total 1	Total 2	Ei	Chi-Square		
Location-2: Panel 1(139):							
C ₁ ,C ₁₂	19	21	107	16	2.795		
	Location-2: Panel 2(286):						
C ₄ ,C ₁₂	63	90	208	65	0.325		
C ₈ ,C ₁₂	35	42	208	31	2.300		
C ₅ ,C ₁₂	26	31	208	23	1.690		





ISSN: 2249-0558

C ₁ ,C ₁₂	22	24	208	18	3.862 (s)*
		Location-2	: Panel 3(235)	:	
C ₄ ,C ₁₂	61	94	148	59	0.304
C ₈ ,C ₁₂	39	48	148	30	9.060 (S)
C ₁ ,C ₁₂	35	38	148	24	16.318 (S)
C ₅ ,C ₁₂	34	49	148	31	0.998
		Location-2	: Panel 4(286)	:	
C ₈ ,C ₁₂	112	138	195	94	20.912 (S)
C ₃ ,C ₁₂	66	96	195	65	0.072
C ₃ ,C ₈	61	96	138	46	14.133 (S)
C ₅ ,C ₈	47	67	138	32	17.574 (S)
C ₅ ,C ₁₂	44	67	195	46	0.361
C ₄ ,C ₁₂	42	61	195	42	0.000
C ₁ ,C ₁₂	40	50	195	34	4.012 (S)
C ₄ ,C ₈	40	61	138	29	10.107 (S)
C ₁ ,C ₈	38	50	138	24	19.0 <mark>31 (S</mark>)
		Location-2	: Panel 5(303)	:	
C_4, C_8	133	178	210	123	6.412(S)
C ₈ ,C ₁₂	104	210	128	89	14.324 (S)
C_{8}, C_{10}	95	210	148	103	3.974(S)*
C ₄ ,C ₁₂	87	178	128	75	8.035 (S)
C_4, C_{10}	81	178	148	87	1.962
C ₆ ,C ₈	67	77	210	33	82.296 (S)
C ₃ ,C ₈	61	77	210	53	5.209 (S)
C ₄ ,C ₆	53	178	77	45	4.592 (S)
C ₃ ,C ₄	47	77	178	45	0.287
C ₃ ,C ₁₂	38	77	128	33	1.780
		All wit	h 1 d.f. (*BAI	RELY SIGNIF	ICANT AT 5%)

VII) ANALYSIS:

The occurrence of significant pairs with C_8 from Tables 12 and 13 are:

Location-1		Location-2	
(C_8, C_1) and (C_8, C_5)	Panel 1	(C_8, C_{12})	Panel 3
$(C_8, C_{12}), (C_8, C_3) \text{ and } (C_8, C_5)$	Panel 3	$(C_8, C_{12}), (C_8, C_3), (C_8, C_5),$	Panel 4
		(C_8, C_4) and (C_8, C_1)	
$(C_8, C_{12}), (C_8, C_6), (C_8, C_5),$	Panel 4	$(C_8, C_4), (C_8, C_{12}), (C_8, C_{10}),$	Panel 5
(C_8, C_4) and (C_8, C_{10})		(C_8, C_6) and (C_8, C_3)	

JV

Volume 2, Issue 11

<u>ISSN: 2249-0558</u>

 C_8 is occurring quite significantly with other causes. It only means that various specified causes are associated with various other causes, which have not been specifically identified. This fact strengthens the feeling that it would be better if this could be looked into depth to identify, which other specific causes may be occurring under this heading.

With C₁ the following significant pairs are noticed.

Location-1		Location-2		
(C_1, C_4) Panel 1		(C_1, C_{12})	Panel 2	
		(C_1, C_{12})	Panel 3	
		(C_1, C_{12})	Panel 4	

The problem of *Incoming Power* (C_1) has a tendency of preventing the occurrence of other causes and hence one should except a negative association, if not any interference. We find that (C_1 , C_4) is significant and as expected has a negative association (Expected under independency of hypothesis is 42 and the observed occurrences are only 30). While (C_1 , C_{12}) is barely significant and hence no importance need be given for this cause.

From the remaining, the significant pairs of combinations are

Location-2	×
$(C_{12}, C_5), (C_{12}, C_6) \text{ and } (C_{12}, C_3)$	Panel 3
(C_{12}, C_5) and (C_{12}, C_6)	Panel 4
(C_{12}, C_4) and (C_6, C_4)	Panel 5

Whenever the combinations which are significant and are present in the panels of the same place, then it suggests the possibility of special reasons common to geological location, management, equipment and so on.

IX) <u>CAUSE – PATTERN ANALYSI</u>S:

Tables 14 and 15 present Panel-wise information of these 12 causes in each of the panels according to their occurrence either singly or in combination and if in combination, with what causes they are occurring.

PAN	IEL NO.	1	2	3	4
Wor	king days	204	93	128	377
C ₁	Singly	0	0	0	0
	Combination	68	15	7	17

Table-14: Cause pattern analysis of Location-1:

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering



Volume 2, Issue 11

<u>ISSN: 2249-0558</u>

	With What Others	2,3,4,5,6,	3,4,5,6,	2,3,4,5,6,	2,3,4,5,6,8,
		8, 12	8,12	8,12	10,11,12
C ₂	Singly	0	0	0	0
	Combination	15	2	3	18
	With What Others	1,3,4,5,6,8,	3,4,5,6,	1,3,4,6,	1,3,4,5,6,
		10,12	8,12	8,12	7,8,12
C ₃	Singly	10	0	2	66
	Combination	66	47	30	102
	With What Others	1,2,4,5,6,8,	1,2,4,5,6,8,12	1,2,4,5,6,8,	1,2,4,5,6,7,
		10,11,12		12	8,10,11,12
C ₄	Singly	7	0	19	21
	Combination	58	32	22	80
	With What Others	1,2,3,5,6,8,	1,2,3,5,	1,2,3,5,6,	1,2,3,5,6,7,
		10,12	6,8,12	8,12	8,10,11,12
C ₅	Singly	1	0	2	2
	Combination	46	54	28	74
	With What Others	1,2,3,4,6,7,	1,2,3,4,6,8,12	1,3,4,6,	1,2,3,4 <mark>,6,7</mark> ,
		8,10,12		8,12	8,10,11,12
C ₆	Singly	0	0	7	9
	Combination	61	35	33	89
	With What Others	1,2,3,4,5,	1,2,3,4,5,8,12	1,2,3,4,5,	1,2,3,4,5,7,
		8,10,12		8, 12	8,10,11,12
C ₇	Singly	0	- 0	0	0
	Combination	1	0	0	18
	With What Others	5			2,3,4,5,6,8,
					10,12
C ₈	Singly	10	0	0	6
	Combination	115	87	40	130
	With What Others	1,2,3,4,5,6,	1,2,3,4,5,6,12	1,2,3,4,5,	1,2,3,4,5,6,
~		10,12		6,12	7,10,11,12
C ₉	Singly	2	0	0	0
	Combination	0	0	0	0
	With What Others				
C_{10}	Singly	4	0	38	38
	Combination	28	0	0	58
	With What Others	2,3,4,5,6,			1,3,4,5,6,7,
~	<u></u>	8,11			8,11,12
C_{11}	Singly	0	0	0	2
	Combination	1	0	0	6



Volume 2, Issue 11

<u>ISSN: 2249-0558</u>

	With What Others	3,10			1,3,4,5,6,8,
					10,12
C ₁₂	Singly	5	1	1	0
	Combination	18	66	42	89
	With What Others	1,2,3,4,5,	1,2,3,4,5,6,8	1,2,3,4,5, 6,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,
		6,8			7,8,10,11
No.	of days no cause	5	1	0	36
occu	irred				

Table-15: Cause pattern analysis of Location-2:

PANEL NO.		1	2	3	4	5
Working days		139	286	235	286	303
C ₁	Singly	2	1	1	0	0
	Combination	19	23	37	50	8
	With What	2,4,5,	2,4,5,	2,4,5,	2,3,4,5,6,	2,3,4,6,8, 10,
	Others	8, 12	8, 12	8, 12	7, 8,10,12	12
C ₂	<mark>Sing</mark> ly	4	0	2	0	0
	C ombination	11	14	13	17	19
	With What	1,4,5,8,	1,4,5,8, 12	1,3,4,8,	1,3,4,5,6, 7,	1,3,4,6,7, 8,
	Others	10,12		10,12	8,10,12	10,12
C ₃	Singly	2	13	2	13	5
	Combination	8	1	10	83	72
	With What	5,6,12	8	2,4,5,	1,2,4,5,6, 8,	1,2,4,5,6,7,
	Others			8, 12	10,12	8,9,10,11,12
	Singly	0	20	20	2	10
C ₄	Combination	13	70	74	59	168
	With What	1,2,12	1,2,5,8, 12	1,2,3,5,	1,2,3,5,6,	1,2,3,5,6,7,
	Others		n n	6,8,10, 12	7,8,10,11,12	8,9,10,11,12
C ₅	Singly	1	1	6	5	1
	Combination	11	30	43	62	21
	With What	1,2,3,8, 12	1,2,4,8, 12	1,2,3,4, 8,	1,2,3,4,6, 7,	3,4,6,7,8, 9,
	Others	-		12	8,10, 11,12	10,12
C6	Singly	0	0	0	0	0
	Combination	1	2	3	23	77
	With What	3,12	10,12	4,12	1,2,3,4,5, 7,	1,2,3,4,5,7,
	Others				8,10,12	8,9,10,11,12
C ₇	Singly	0	0	0	0	0
	Combination	0	0	0	4	13
	With What				1,2,4,5,6, 8,	2,3,4,5,6,8,

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering



Volume 2, Issue 11

ISSN: 2249-0558

	Others				10,12	9,10,11,12
C ₈	Singly	1	4	2	4	13
	Combination	9	38	46	134	197
	With What	1,2,5,	1,2,3,4, 5,	1,2,3,4, 5,	1,2,3,4,5, 6,	1,2,3,4,5,6,
	Others	10,11,12	12	10,12	7,10,12	7,9,10,11,12
C ₉	Singly	0	0	0	0	0
	Combination	0	0	0	0	6
	With What					3,4,5,6,7,8,
	Others					10,12
C ₁₀	Singly	2	30	11	32	18
	Combination	3	2	3	42	130
	With What	2,8,12	4,6	2,4,8,12	1,2,3,4,5, 6,	1,2,3,4,5,6,
	Others				7,8,12	7,8,9,11,12
C ₁₁	Singly	14	0	0	0	1
	Combination	2	0	0	2	10
	With What	8	-		4,5,12	3, <mark>4,6,7,8,10</mark>
	Others					
C ₁₂	Singly .	48	91	27	32	1
	Combination	59	117	121	163	127
	With What	1,2,3,4,	1,2,4,5,	1,2,3,4,	1,2,3,4,5,	1,2,3,4,5,6,
	Others	5,6, 8,10	6,8	5,6,8,10	6,7,8,10, 11	7,8,9,10
No. of days no cause		3	0	25	2	1
occurred						

In general it is found that very a few of the causes occur singly in any of the panels. The exceptions being C_{10} occurring singly in panels 3 and 4 of Location-1 and Panels 2, 4 and 5 of Location-2 and C_{12} in Panels 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Location-2. Thus, mostly the faults occur in combination with others, though their "degree of association" is mostly not significant.

X) <u>CONCLUSIONS:</u>

1) It is observed that C_8 and C_{12} are crucial and are found to occur in combinations with others at Location-1.

At Location-2, it is observed that C_{12} is the most predominantly occurring cause of down time and is a crucial variable. It is found to occur in combinations with C_8 , C_{10} , C_4 and C_5 .

2) C_8 is occurring quite significantly with other causes. It only means that various specified causes are associated with various other causes which have not been specifically

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering http://www.ijmra.us

identified. This fact strengthens the feeling that it would be better if this could be looked into depth to identify which other specific causes may be occurring under this heading.

XI) APPLICABILITY OF THE STUDY:

i)

ii)

The manager working in the field can effectively plan for the operations, Spares and so on by understanding environment of the panel.

The understanding on the previous panels always can be used as database and compared with the new panels under consideration. This comparison is of immense use in either eliminating or minimising the occurrence of down time causes leading to enhanced working hours and higher production. In addition, the managers can forecast the need for materials, components, spares in advance and get ready for any type of eventuality and control them.

XII) <u>REFERENCES:</u>

i)	Gnanadesikan, R., "Methods for Statistical data Analysis of Multivariate Observations",
	John Wiley & Sons, NY,1977.
ii)	Krishna Reddy, B., "Analysis and Modelling of Coal Mining Systems", Ph.D. Thesis,
	Osmania University, Hyderabad, 1992.
iii)	Lata Sujatha, T, "Outsourcing Practices in Healthcare Industry – A study of Select
	Hospitals", Ph.D. Thesis, Osmania University, Hyderabad, 2008.

- iv) Peng., Syd S. and Chiang., H.S., "Longwall Mining", John Wiley & Sons, NY, 1984.
- v) Seber, G.A.F., "Multivariate Observations", John Wiley & Sons, NY, 1984.